FOR CONTRACT No.: 04-4S0604

INFORMATION HANDOUT MATERIALS INFORMATION

Storm Damage Recommendation Dated September 28, 2010

Dist-Co-Rte-PM: 04-Santa Clara-85-0.3

State of California
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Memorandum

Flex your power! Be energy efficient! F

To:

MR. STEPHEN SAKATA Senior, Central Region Design 1

Project Development

Attention:

M. Taketa

Date:

September 28, 2010

File:

04-SCL-85 PM 0.27

04 - 4S0600

Efis -04 0000 1203 0

Settlement

From:

A. KADDOURA/M. ZABOLZADEH

Associate Material and Research Engineers Office of Geotechnical Design – West

Geotechnical Services

Division of Engineering Services

HOOSHMAND NIKOUI

Chief, Branch A

Office of Geotechnical Design - West

Geotechnical Services

Division of Engineering Services

Subject: Storm Damage Recommendation

This memo is in response to your e-mail request dated August 12, 2010 for geotechnical recommendation for the settlement problems of the above referenced project. The project is located on northbound Route 85, just north of Bernal Road Undercrossing in the City of San Jose, Santa Clara County.

BACKGROUND/EXISTING CONDITION

The existing PCC departure slab appears to be constructed sloping away from the structure towards north, while adjoining AC surface is constructed sloping towards the structure, creating a depression. This depression has settled a few inches (5"±) gradually through the past few years causing an undulation in the roadway. The roadway is constructed over 23 feet (tape measured) high fill embankment.

The settlement and crack area is about 100 feet long and about 66 feet wide, which extends from PCC departure slab to about 100 feet towards north covering the existing median shoulder and lanes number 1, 2 and 3. See attached Exhibit A.

According to our conversation with field maintenance crew, the settled area has not been AC overlaid in the past few years.

SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION

Four power borings (A-09-101 through A-09-104) were drilled at the project location to determine the cause for the roadway settlement in this area. One power boring (A-09-101) was drilled outside the settled area about 80 feet north of the existing DI in the median for comparison purposes. The other three borings (A-09-102, A-09-103, and A-09-104) were drilled in the settled area to assess the foundation condition. See attached Exhibit A for the locations of the borings.

Boring A-09-101 was drilled outside the settlement area into the embankment fill only to the depth of 16.5 feet below roadway surface to assess the condition of the embankment material. According to this boring, the embankment material is described as stiff to hard sandy lean clay with some gravel to the bottom of the boring. The SPT blow counts ranged between 28 and 42 blows per foot. Moisture content is not available at this time; however, the fill material appeared to be damp near the surface with increasing moisture with respect to depth at the time of our drilling. The unconfined compressive strength (measured with a pocket penetrometer) ranged between 2.0 tsf and 4.5 tsf.

Boring A-09-102 drilled above the existing Caltrans cross culvert to a depth of 6 feet (top of the culvert) in order to determine the quality and consistency of the fill material. Same fill material as boring A-09-101 was encountered with blow counts ranging between 18 and 29 blows per foot. The unconfined compressive strength (measured with a pocket penetrometer) ranged between 2.0 tsf and 2.5 tsf.

Boring A-09-103 was drilled at the worst section of the settled area to a depth of 36.5 feet below ground surface in order to assess the condition of both the embankment fill material and the foundation soils below it. The height of the embankment fill was tape measured to be about 23 feet at this location. Based on the boring A-09-103, the embankment fill materials are consistent with that of borings A-09-101, A-09-102, and A-09-104 (see below) to the depth of 23 feet near the original ground surface. However, the foundation soils below original ground surface can be described as medium stiff to very stiff sandy clay with much higher moisture content. The unconfined compressive strength (measured with a pocket penetrometer) ranged between 1.0 tsf and 3.0 tsf.

Boring A-09-104 was drilled near the perimeter of the settlement area (about 80 feet north of A-09-103) to the depth of 16.5 feet below ground surface for verification and for comparison purposes. This boring also revealed the same embankment fill materials as

encountered in borings A-09-101 through A-09-103. The SPT blow counts ranged between 33 and 43 blows per foot.

The unconfined compressive strength (measured with a pocket penetrometer) for clayey materials encountered in Borings A-09-101, A-09-102 and A-09-104 ranged between 2.4 and more than 4.5 tsf.

Groundwater was not encountered in any of the borings.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the above, we conclude the following:

- Based on Boring A-09-103, we believe post construction secondary foundation soil
 consolidation could be the primary cause of the settlement. As mentioned above,
 according to our conversation with field maintenance crew, the settled area has not
 been AC overlaid in the past few years and based on our periodic field visits during
 past year, the roadway condition at the settlement area appears to remain the same.
 This suggests that consolidation settlement may be completed.
- The PCC departure slab and the roadway are originally constructed sloping towards each other. This has created a depression at their joint. Physical evidence suggests that surface runoffs penetrate through the existing joint between the embankment fill and the PCC slab during rainy seasons softening the roadway embankment material. However, it is unclear whether or not; this water seepage has contributed to the settlement problem at this location. This is because the low moisture content (damp) of the soil samples retrieved from the borings does not confirm this. Regardless, this joint should be sealed and the depression needs to be corrected to prevent water seepage into the embankment.
- All the above borings suggests that the structural section of the roadway at the location of the settlement area has relatively competent foundation.

RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend correcting the profile between the PCC departure slab and the AC surfacing by lifting the PCC departure slab and the roadway at their joint. This can be achieved by using soil densification with expanded polyurethane material. Expanded polyurethane material (Permeation grouting) refers to the soil improvement technique of injecting under pressure a foam grout to densify and compact the surrounding soil or fill and lift structure. This high density expanded polyurethane foam with a nominal free rise density of 3 pounds will expand up to 20 times its original liquid form, exerting an upward anisotropic lifting force of approximately 3 kips per square foot.

The advantage of using this material is that it is an impermeable lightweight material, thus, it would act as a sealant at the joint eliminating the seepage problem.

According to our field measurement, a 2 inches PCC slab and about 1 inch AC pavement lifting may be necessary.

We recommend injecting polyurethane material by drilling 5/8-inch to ¾-inch holes on approximate 4-foot centers. We recommend only one injection point per injection hole at the depth of 3 feet. The estimated number of injection holes is 135 (96 holes in the AC pavement and 39 holes in the PCC slab area). Refer to the attached Exhibit A for details.

For lifting purposes, one pound of polyurethane material is required to lift a 1 square foot area.

See attached Exhibit A for preliminary injection holes.

It should be noted that the grout injection holes are subject to change during construction and may vary according to field conditions and the required lifting.

Injecting grout will create an uneven pavement surfacing. After completion of the grouting, we recommend grinding the AC surfacing at the location of the settled and cracked areas and resurface with AC.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please call Mohammad Zabolzadeh at (510) 286-4831, Ali Kaddoura at (510) 286-4676 or Hooshmand Nikoui at (510) 286-4811.

c: TPokrywka, HNikoui, MZabolzadeh, AKaddoura - (GS west), Mark Willian (GS Corporate), RE pending File (Structure Construction), John Stayton (DES OE), Brian Kearney (District ME), Steve Sakata (District 6 PM), Mark Taketa (District 6 PE)

MZabolzadeh/mm/4S0600-SCL-85-PM 0.27 Polyurethane Soil Densification



AK	ED BY	,	BEGIN 4-1-	N DATE -09	(COMPLE 4-1-09	ETION DATE	BOREHO	E LOC	ATI	ION (I	Lat/L	ong o	or No	rth/E	ast ar	d Datur	n)			HOLE I	9-10	1		
DRILLIA	NG C	ONTRA	CTOR					BOREHOI 34' Rt	E LOC	ATI 112	ION (02+44	Offse	t, Sta	alion,	Line	9)					SURFA 72.5	CE ELE		N	
DRILLI		ETHOD Stem			.,,			DRILL RIC	}												BOREF 6 in	OLE D	AMETE	R	
	ER T	YPE(S)	AND SIZE(S	S) (ID)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SPT HAM	•		<u> </u>										HAMME 84%	REFF	ICIENC	Y, ERi	
			ILL AND CO	MPLE1	ION			GROUND READING	WATEI S	R E	DURI	VG D	RILL	ING	AF	TER	DRILLIN	₩ (DA	TE)	TOTAL 16.5		OF BO	ORING	
ELEVATION (ft)	оертн (#)	Material Graphics				SCRIPT			Sample Location	ipolinos adinos	Blows per 6 in.	Blows per foot	Recovery (%)	ROD (%)	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	Shear Strength (tsf)	Drilling Method	Casing Depth			Rema	rks		
70.50 68.50	1 2 3		SANDY le fine, subro plasticity fi	ean CLA bunded ines; (fi	AY (CL' GRAV ill).); stiff; d EL; son	lark brown; ne medium	moist; few SAND; low	V 1/		30 15 15	30	100	•			PP = 2.0								
66.50	5 6 7		very stiff;	; (fill). 5.5 ft, b			DY lean CL DY lean CL		\(\sum_{2}\)		6 14 14	28	100				PP = 3.5								
64.50	8								X 3/		14 15 22	37	100				PP = 4.0								
62.50 60.50	10 11 12								X 4/		10 14 20	34	100				PP = 4.5								
58.50	13 14								X 5/	:	10 16 20	36					PP = 3.0								
l	16 17		At EL. 57 very stiff; Bottom of	; (fill).			DY lean CL ps	AY) (CL);	M en		11 18 24	42	100			,							W. A		
54.50	18 19																								
52.50	20 21																								
50.50 48.50	22 23 24																								
	L ₂₅ .L	- - - 7		Divi Geo	sion d	of Engi nical S	Transportineering Services		st	DIS 04 PRO N	4 OJEC	NG C CL8	OUN Sar R BR 5 B	ITY ta C IDGE erna	Clar E NA al R	d UC		PO	os ⁻	rmili	E 0.2	7 EA 0	4-450		

LOGGE AK	ED BY	′		EGIN 0			ОМРL 4-1-0	ETION	DATE	BOREHO)LE LO	OCA.	TION (LaVL	ong o	or No	rllVE	ast an	d Datur	n)			HOLE A-C	ID 19-1	02		
DRILLI	NG C	ONTRA	CTOR						-	BOREHO 44' Rt					t, Sta	alion,	Line)						CE EL	.EVATI	ON	_
DRILLII			Auger							DRILL RI	G														DIAMET	ER	_
	ERT	YPE(S)	AND SI		(ID)					SPT HAN							 						HAMM 84%		FICIEN	CY, ERi	
			ILL AND	COM	PLETIC	N				GROUNG READING	OWAT GS	ER	DURI	NG D	RILL	ING	AF	TER	DRILLIN	łG (DA	TE)	TOTAL 6.0 f		H OF E	ORING	_
ELEVATION (ft)	оертн (ft)	Material Graphics						PTION			Sample Location	Sample Number	Blows per 6 in.	Blows per foot	Recovery (%)	RQD (%)	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	Shear Strength (tsf)	Drilling Method	Casing Depth			Rem	arks		
71.30	2		SAND subrou plastic	Y lean unded city fine	CLAY GRAV es; (fill	((CL); /EL; sc).	stiff; ome n	dark bi nedium	rown; dr SAND;	y; few low	V	1A	13 8	18	90				PP = 2.5								
69.30											A	_	10														
	5		At EL	. 68.3	ft, bed	comes	(SĀN	NDY lea	n CLAY	/) (CL);	- 	2A	15	-	100				PP = 2.5	$\frac{1}{1}$							
67.30	6		mois Botton	t; (fill). n of bo		e at 6.0) ft bg	js			M	1	14						2.5	<u></u>	L	,					_
65.30 63.30 61.30 59.30	7 8 9																										
63.30	10 11																										
61.30	12 13																										
59.30	14 15																										
57.30																											
55.30	18																										
53.30	20																										
51.30	22																										
49.30	24					······································						····															_
		/ 7			Divis Geot	ion ol echni	f Eng ical S	gineer Servic	es	rvices		D	EPOR BOR IST. 04 ROJE(NB S	ING CT O	RE COUN Sar R BR	NTY Nta (Clar E NA	ROU a 85		P	os	TMIL	E 0.:	27 E		-102	- -
					OHIC	e or C	5eote	ecnnic	ai Des	sign - W	ยรเ		RIDGE						ED BY				D	ATE	s	HEET 1 of 1	1

AK				BEGIN DATE 4-1-09	COMPLETIO 4-1-09	N DATE	BOREHOL									d Datun	1)			9-103		
DRILLIN	NG C	CONT	RAC	IOR			26' Rt					1, 512	июп,	, Line)				73.0		MION	
DRILLIN							DRILL RIC		truc	L۱									BOREH 6 in	OLE DI	METER	
Hollo SAMPL SPT	ER	TYPE		ND SIZE(S) (ID)			SPT HAM	<u> </u>												REFFI	CIENCY, EF	ti
			KFIL	L AND COMPLETI	ON		GROUND' READING		ER	DURI	NG D	RILL	ING	AF	TER	ORILLIN	G (D	ATE)			OF BORING	3
ELEVATION (ft)	DEPTH (#)	Material	Graphics		DESCRIPTIO		<u> </u>	Sample Location	Sample Number	Blows per 6 in.	Blows per foot	Recovery (%)	RQD (%)	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	Shear Strength (tsf)	Drilling Method	masud Debru		Remar	ks	
71.00 69.00	1 2 3 4		10/0/0/00/00	SANDY lean CLA brown; dry, little fi coarse to medium				X	1A	9 20 22	42	100				PP = 3.0					·	
67.00	5 6 7		1	At EL. 68.0 ft, be GRAVEL) (CL);	ecomes (SANDY I moist; (fill).	lean CLAY	Y with	X	2A	12 15 17	32	100				PP = 2.75						
65.00	8		0					X	3A	9 11 21	32	100										
63.00	10							X	4A	16 14 16	30	100				PP = 3.0						
61.00	12 13		9					X	5A	11 13 25	38	100										
59.00	14 15			At EL. 58.0 ft, be	comes (SANDY	lean to fat	CLAY with	- 17	6A	14	54	100				PP=						
57.00	16 17			GRAVEL) (CL/0	H); hard; dry, (fill).		X		19 35						4.0						
55.00	18 19																					
53.00	20 21							X	7A	9 17 10	27	100										
51.00	22																					
49.00	24																					
					(continued)				Ţ.	EPO	ንሞ ሞቦ	ri E								но	EID	_
	1	25 - 12	/	Divi	artment of Tra sion of Engine itechnical Serv	ering Se			Γ	BOR DIST. 04		COU Sai	NTY nta	Cla	ROL ra 85		PC	STMIL	E 0.2	っ I FA	LE ID - 09-10 1-450600	
				4	ce of Geotechi		sign - We	est		ROJE NB S	CL	85 E	3err	ial F	Rd U							
			٠.				=		Ī	BRIDG	E NŪ	MBÉ	R	PR	EPAR	ED BY			DA	ATE.	SHEET 1 of	2

ELEVATION (ft)		оертн (ft)	Material Graphics	DESCRIPTION	Sample Location		Blows per 6 in.	Blows per foot	Recovery (%)	RQD (%)	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	Shear Strength (tsf)	Drilling Method	Casing Depth	Remarks	
47.00	2	E		Lean CLAY (CL); stiff; dark brown; moist; medium plasticity fines; (O.G.).	X	8A	3 4 6	10	100				PP = 1.0				
45.00	2	8															
43.00	3	10 11 11 11		At EL. 43.0 ft, becomes (Lean CLAY) (CL); very sliff.	- X	9A	3 6 8	14	100				PP = 3.0				
41.00	3	E				Y							-				
39.00	3	E				/10A		10	100				PP=				
37,00	3	36		Bottom of borehole at 36.5 ft bgs	<u> </u>		3 4 6		100				3.0				
35.00	3	38															
33.00		10															
31.00		11	-5														
29.00		13															
29.0		15															
27.00 AWA	-	16															
25.0X		48 49															
23.00		50															
21.00	-	51															
19.00		53	7														
CALTRANS BORING RECORD MET-ENG FIXED EA4S0800 GPJ CALIFRANS LIBRARY GROUBS GLB 972970 TO 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		55	7	Department of Transportation Division of Engineering Services Geotechnical Services Office of Geotechnical Design - Wo	est		REPOF BOR DIST. 04 PROJE NB S	CT C	COU Sai OR BI 85 E	NTY nta RIDG Berr	Clar E NA nal F			P	08	HOLE ID A-09-103 STMILE 0.27 EA 04-4S0600 DATE SHEET 2 of 2	

AK DRILLIF			RAC	4-1	-09	. =		·1-09	TION DAT		OREHO									nd Datum				HOLE A-	09-	104	ATION	
											10' Rt	Sta				,, 01		,	-,					72.	7 ft			
DRILLII Hollo				ıger							RILL RI CS 20	00 (BORE 6 ir		E DIA	METER	
SPT	(1.4	4")		VD SIZĖ(SI	PT HAN	IMEF	TYF	Έ										84%	6		IENCY,	
BOREH	HOLI	E BAC	KFIL	AND C	OMPL	ETIO	١			G R	ROUND	WAT SS	rer	DUR	NG E	RILI	ING	A	FTER	DRILLIN	IG (DA'	re)	TOTA 16.		PTHO	OF BOR	NG
ELEVATION (#)	DEPTH (#)	Material	Graphics			1	DESC	RIPT	ION			Sample Location	Sample Number	Blows per 6 in.	Blows per foot	Recovery (%)	RQD (%)	Moisture Content (%)	Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	Shear Strength (tsf)	Drilling Method	Casing Depth			Re	mark	s	
70.70	1 2 3		/ 5	ANDY I ubangul ill).	ean C ar GF	LAY RAVE	(CL); c L; som	lark br ie coai	rown; dry rse to me	r, little fi edium S	ne, SAND;																	
68.70	4 5											V	1A	11 16	33	100											•	
66.70	7											Δ		17														
64.70	9																											
62.70	10 11 12											X	2A	12 16 18	34	100												
58.70	13 14																			į								
56.70	15 16			moist; (f	ill).	_			Y lean C	CAY) (C	CL);	X	3A	6 17 18	35	100				PP = 2.25					········			
54.70	17 18		E	ottom o	f bore	hole :	at 16 .5	ft bgs	3					_			_											•
52.70	19 20 21																											
50.70	22											٠																
48.70	24 -25																											
		7	/		Di Ge	visio eote	n of l	Engir al Se	ranspo neering rvices	Servi	ces	•	0	EPOF BOR IST. 04	CTO	RE OUI Sar	VITY	Clar	ROU a 85 ME		P	osi	MIL	E _{0.2}	- 1	EA	09-1 45060	
		" (ınce	or G6	otec	hnical (uesigi	1 - VV 6	sst		RIDG						ED BY				C	ATE		SHEE 1 0	T f 1



